

Table 1 – Sites A66, A58, A64, A65

	A66 White House Farm (Woodham Walter)	A58 Little Smiths (Danbury – Woodham Walter)	A64 East of Asheldham Quarry	A65 South of Asheldham Quarry
Landscaping	The area affected by the proposal is designated locally in the Landscape Character Assessment jointly commissioned by five Essex authorities in 2006, as ‘Woodham Wooded Farmland’ which should be conserved and enhanced. The allocation of this site would be detrimental to the landscape character of the area. We object for this reason.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.
Biodiversity	<p>There are a high number of important internationally, nationally, and locally designated nature sites and priority habitats (hedgerows, deciduous woodland) in proximity to the proposed site.</p> <p>The ECC site assessment acknowledges the site could have a major impact on designated sites, priority habitats and species, in particular by the smothering of leaves by dust and disturbance (noise and lighting) and could result in the disturbance and loss of habitat for priority farmland species.</p> <p>As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.</p>	<p>There are several internationally and nationally designated nature sites near to the proposed site which the site would impact on, as well as priority habitats and veteran Oak trees.</p> <p>It is noted the site is an extension to the existing site however this would further exacerbate the harm.</p> <p>As outlined in the ECC site assessment, the site would have major impacts upon the nearby adjacent ancient woodland, which is irreplaceable habitat, and a serious impact upon the Local Wildlife Site and Priority habitats and species and it is likely to require high levels of mitigation to make the site acceptable.</p>	<p>As outlined in the ECC site assessment, the site is within proximity to a number of internationally and nationally designated nature sites.</p> <p>Much of the site is bounded by hedgerows and there is a Lowland Mixed Deciduous Woodland Priority Habitat on the eastern boundary which could be lost.</p> <p>As outlined in the ECC site assessment, it could result in disturbance and loss of habitat for Priority farmland species, e.g. Skylarks. The surrounding water bodies, Lowland Mixed Deciduous Woodland and Hedgerow Priority</p>	<p>There are veteran Oak trees on site which are an irreplaceable habitat, and the site is bounded by hedgerows which are a priority habitat.</p> <p>As stated in the ECC site assessment, the allocation of this site could result in disturbance and loss of habitat for Priority farmland species, e.g. Skylarks. The surrounding water bodies, Lowland Mixed Deciduous Woodland and Hedgerow Priority habitats could also be indirectly affected e.g. through changes to the hydrology; smothering of leaves by dust, disturbance e.g. by noise and lighting.</p>

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

		As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.	habitats could also be indirectly affected e.g. through changes to the hydrology; smothering of leaves by dust, disturbance e.g. by noise and lighting. As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.	As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.
Historic Buildings	As identified in the ECC site assessment, we have concerns over impacts to the significance of following heritage assets; Black Cottages, Barn southeast of Whitehouse Farm, Ashman’s Farmhouse, Barns southeast of Ashman’s Farmhouse, Cartlodge southeast of Barn, Granary southeast of Barn, Ruins of Old Woodham Walter Hall, scheduled ancient monument (one to the southwest of the site and one to the north). We object for this reason.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	Concerns over impacts to the following listed buildings; New Hall Farmhouse, Asheldham Youth Church, Asheldham Hall. We object for this reason.	Concerns over the impact on the following listed buildings; Asheldham Youth Church, Asheldham Hall. We object for this reason.
Archaeology	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, the northern part of the site lies within a cropmark landscape, probably containing evidence of settlements. A double circular enclosure is recorded in the centre of the site, which is likely to be of prehistoric date. We have serious concerns over the impact to these significant historic features.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are cropmarks and archaeological features present, including previous findings of late Iron Age, Roman and Saxon occupation and a high probability of palaeolithic remains. We have serious concerns over the impact to these historic features. We object for this reason.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are cropmarks and archaeological features present, including previous findings of late Iron Age and Roman occupation and a high probability of palaeolithic remains. We have serious concerns over the impact to these historic features. We object for this reason.

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

	We also have concerns regarding the impact to any archaeological features relating to the two nearby scheduled monuments, particularly Woodham Walter Hall. We object for this reason.			
Flooding	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.
Transport / access	<p>The roads surrounding the site are inadequate for the transportation of minerals; undue pressure will be put on the local road network, of which many roads are unclassified. The increase in vehicle movements and the types of vehicles travelling to and from the site, raises concerns with regard to highway safety.</p> <p>The ECC site assessment states a new access would be created directly onto the A414 but provides no additional detail. It also states an additional crossing would be required along Old London Road however no further details have been provided. Where would the new access be created? Would this increase urbanisation of a rural area? This is extremely concerning; Old London Road is a narrow country lane and is not suitable for the type of vehicle and level of vehicle movements which could come from a quarry site. Not only are these</p>	We acknowledge this is an extension to an existing site; vehicles must use the existing established entrance/exit onto the A414. The Council would strongly object to any new access directly onto The Street; this road is highly unsuitable for the type of vehicles and levels of movements the site would have.	The existing access would be utilised which serves the existing quarry however the cumulative impact of proposed sites A64 and A65 would significantly increase the number of vehicle movements along an already unsuitable rural single-track road for this type of vehicle. We object for this reason.	The existing access would be utilised which serves the existing quarry however the cumulative impact of proposed sites A64 and A65 would significantly increase the number of vehicle movements along an already unsuitable rural single-track road for this type of vehicle. We object for this reason.

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

	roads used by local residents, but they are also a route between Danbury and Maldon used in particular at rush hour times to get to work and school. There are serious concerns regarding the impact the vehicle movements would have on Woodham Walter, the local residents and road users. We object for this reason.			
Public Rights of Way (PRoW)	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.
Water	<p>The Council is concerned regarding pollution and contamination of watercourses.</p> <p>Furthermore, the ECC site assessment identifies the site as being within a Drinking Water Safeguard Zone and near to watercourses, meaning high levels of mitigation may be required to reduce impacts on hydrology, hydrogeology and drainage. We object for this reason.</p>	There is a watercourse that leads to the Blackwater Estuary, the Council are concerned that this could lead to contamination and pollution. We object for this reason.	A watercourse has been identified east of the site which could result in a potential pollution pathway between the site and the designated nature sites, affecting water quality. We object for this reason.	The ECC site assessment acknowledges that it is unknown whether there are watercourses linking the site and nearest river to the Dengie SSSI, National Nature Reserve, SPA and RAMSAR site, which could lead to potential pollution affecting water quality. We object for this reason.
Soil Quality	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained for agricultural use and sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first.	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained for agricultural use and sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first.	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained and sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first. ed for agricultural use	The site contains grade 3 agricultural land, which is good to moderate quality and has the potential to be Best and Most Versatile agricultural land. The Council objects to the use of BMV land for minerals extraction, however it is noted that this is not the highest level of quality land.

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

<p>Noise and amenity</p>	<p>There are significant concerns over the proximity of the site to residential areas.</p> <p>The site would be bounded by the main accesses to Woodham Walter; Old London Road (south), Curling Tye Lane and Blue Mill Lane (northeast), Rectory Road, The Street, Church Hill, Oak Farm Road and Herbage Park Road (west).</p> <p>The Council have significant concerns over the proximity to surrounding residential dwellings in this area, as well as caravan parks, local businesses, pubs, the village hall, Woodham Walter Primary School and nursery.</p> <p>The ECC site assessment considers medium levels of mitigation would be required given the proximity to residential sites, however this underestimates the impact the allocation of this site would have. Even with high levels of mitigation, there would still be a significantly harmful impact on the day-to-day lives of local residents which must be considered. This is not a suitable site for development given the high levels of mitigation required to bring it up to an acceptable standard in terms of health and amenity. We object for this reason.</p>	<p>The ECC site assessment states high levels of mitigation would be required to make the site acceptable in terms of health and amenity. The Council has significant concerns over the proximity to residential sites. It is unlikely this would be a suitable site given the high levels of mitigation required to bring it up to an acceptable standard in terms of health and amenity. We object for this reason.</p>	<p>Knightswood Day Care Centre, a respite care centre, as well as several residential dwellings, would end up being situated between site A64 and A65. We have concerns that the occupiers of these sites would be severely impacted by way of noise, dust, vehicle movements, particularly given the location of the existing quarry would mean they would be bounded on 3 sides by the quarry.</p> <p>The ECC site assessment acknowledges the levels of mitigation required would be difficult to achieve, so The Council have significant concerns over the impact on the nearby residential and care sites.</p> <p>It is unlikely this would be a suitable site given the high levels of mitigation required to bring it up to an acceptable standard in terms of health and amenity. We object for this reason.</p>	<p>Knightswood Day Care Centre, a respite care centre, as well as several residential dwellings, would end up being situated between site A64 and A65. We have concerns that the occupiers of these sites would be severely impacted by way of noise, dust, vehicle movements, particularly given the location of the existing quarry would mean they would be bounded on 3 sides by the quarry.</p> <p>The ECC site assessment acknowledges the levels of mitigation required would be difficult to achieve, so the Council have significant concerns over the impact on the nearby residential and care sites.</p> <p>It is unlikely this would be a suitable site given the high levels of mitigation required to bring it up to an acceptable standard in terms of health and amenity. We object for this reason.</p>
<p>Site allocations /</p>	<p>The Council has significant concerns over the potential level of development within</p>	<p>The Council has significant concerns over the level of development within and</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

<p>other development</p>	<p>and around Woodham Walter, which would be overbearing, and dominate the rural village, resulting in both visual and amenity detrimental harm to the local residents and a detrimental impact on the rural character of the area.</p> <p>A large site of 128ha (west of Maldon) has been submitted as part of MDC’s call for sites as part of the local plan review, as well as two smaller sites within Woodham Walter. Whilst no decisions have been made regarding which sites will be allocated, Maldon is the most sustainable settlement in the district and therefore it would be expected to take some growth, a constraint to this may therefore push growth to less sustainable settlements, the Council would consider that our local plan review and housing allocations must take priority.</p> <p>The Council are also aware of proposals for a large solar farm to the south of Old London Road, although no planning application has been submitted at this time (a screening opinion has been assessed under the terms of 23/01036/SCR).</p> <p>We object for this reason.</p>	<p>around Woodham Walter, which is a small rural village.</p> <p>A large site of 128ha (west of Maldon) has been submitted as part of MDC’s call for sites as part of the local plan review, as well as two smaller sites within Woodham Walter. Whilst no decisions have been made regarding which sites will be allocated, we may not have a choice but to allocate housing in Maldon as it is sustainable. Our local plan review and housing allocations must take priority.</p> <p>The Council are also aware of proposals for a large solar farm to the south of Old London Road, although no planning application has been submitted at this time (a screening opinion has been assessed under the terms of 23/01036/SCR).</p> <p>We object for this reason.</p>		
<p>Summary</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site</p>

	for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.	for mineral extraction and therefore objects to the allocation of this site.	to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.	to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.
--	--	---	---	---

Table 2 – Sites A49, A51, A82, A94

	A49 Colemans Farm	A51 Colemans Farm - north extension	A82 Colemans Farm - Elm Springs extension	A94 Land at Highfields Farm
Landscaping	Due to number of proposed sites in this area, we have concerns over the cumulative impact on the rural landscape.	Due to number of proposed sites in this area, we have concerns over the cumulative impact on the rural landscape.	There is an area of woodland to the northeast of the site known as Elm Springs which contains ponds fed by a tributary from the River Blackwater. There are far reaching views of this site across open agricultural land from Little Braxted Lane and glimpses between trees from Lea Lane. Due to number of proposed sites in this area, we have concerns over the cumulative impact on the rural landscape.	Due to number of proposed sites in this area, we have concerns over the cumulative impact on the rural landscape.
Biodiversity	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are a number of important designated nature sites including Braxted Park Local Wildlife Site and priority habitats in and within close proximity to the proposed site which would be impacted. As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are a number of important designated nature sites including Braxted Park Local Wildlife Site and priority habitats in and within close proximity to the proposed site which would be impacted. As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are a number of important designated nature sites and priority habitats in and within close proximity to the proposed site which would be impacted. The site includes a section of Elm Springs which links to Lea Lane Wood. A section of Elm Springs joining to Lea Lane Wood would be affected by the	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are a number of important designated nature sites, priority habitats and irreplaceable habitats such as veteran trees in and within close proximity to the proposed site which would be impacted. As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

			works and would be lost, potentially severing the wildlife corridor. As such, we have significant concerns over the impact on biodiversity. We object for this reason.	
Historic Buildings	The site could have a major detrimental impact on the setting and structure of the Grade II listed Appleford Bridge. It could also have a significant impact on the setting of the following Grade II listed buildings; Appleford Bridge Cottage, 1-2 School House, Little Braxted Hall, Great Braxted Hall. Concerns are raised over the impact on these heritage assets.	There could be major detrimental impact on Appleford Bridge, Appleford Bridge Cottage, 1-2 School House which are all Grade II listed. The ECC site assessment states, if a structural survey reports harm would be caused to the bridge through HGV's using the bridge to gain access to and from the site, then mitigation would be put in place prohibiting the use of the bridge, however if the shortest distance to the A12 for site vehicles is preferable then the options are limited. The use of Little Braxted Lane for HGV and LGV traffic is not considered suitable.	The site could impact on the setting of Grade I St Nicholas church and Grade II Church of St Nicholas Monument, due to the proximity of the site to the church and the relatively flat nature of the surrounding land. Concerns are raised over the impact on these heritage assets.	Concerns are raised over the impact on listed buildings at Ashman's Farm and Kelvedon Hall and associated buildings.
Archaeology	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are archaeological features present, prehistoric, Palaeolithic and Pleistocene remains. There is a scheduled monument nearby. We have concerns over the impact to these historic features.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are archaeological features present, prehistoric, Palaeolithic and Pleistocene remains. There is a scheduled monument nearby. We have concerns over the impact to these historic features.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are archaeological features present, prehistoric, Palaeolithic and Pleistocene remains. There is a scheduled monument nearby. We have concerns over the impact to these historic features.	As outlined in the ECC site assessment, there are archaeological features present, Iron Age, Roman, Palaeolithic and Pleistocene remains. There is a scheduled monument nearby. We have concerns over the impact to these historic features.
Flooding	There is a risk of high surface water, high ground water and some parts of the site are in flood zone 2 and 3. We	There is a risk of high surface water, medium ground water risk and half of the site is in flood zone 2 and 3. We	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.	No additional comments further to the site assessment already undertaken by Essex County Council.

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

	have concerns over possible pollution impacts if the site were to flood.	have concerns over possible pollution impacts if the site were to flood.		
Transport / access	There should be no traffic through the settlements of Great Braxted and Little Braxted. Whilst the site could be considered an extension of the existing pits at Little Braxted Lane, adjacent to the A12, the cumulative impact would have a detrimental effect on the landscape of Little Braxted and Little Braxted Lane.	There should be no traffic through the settlements of Great Braxted and Little Braxted. Whilst the site could be considered an extension of the existing pits at Little Braxted Lane, adjacent to the A12, the cumulative impact would have a detrimental effect on the landscape of Little Braxted and Little Braxted Lane.	There should be no traffic through the settlements of Great Braxted and Little Braxted. Whilst the site could be considered an extension of the existing pits at Little Braxted Lane, adjacent to the A12, the cumulative impact would have a detrimental effect on the landscape of Little Braxted and Little Braxted Lane.	There should be no traffic through the settlements of Great Braxted and Little Braxted. Whilst the site could be considered an extension of the existing pits at Little Braxted Lane, adjacent to the A12, the cumulative impact would have a detrimental effect on the landscape of Little Braxted and Little Braxted Lane.
Public Rights of Way	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.	The allocation of the site for minerals extraction would impact on the safety and enjoyment of users of the public rights of way.
Water	<p>The ECC site assessment identifies the site as being within a Drinking Water Safeguard Zone and near to watercourses, meaning high levels of mitigation may be required to reduce impacts on hydrology, hydrogeology and drainage.</p> <p>The watercourses within and around the site create a potential pollution pathway for water quality including impacting on statutory wildlife sites. We object for these reasons.</p>	<p>The ECC site assessment identifies the site as being within a Drinking Water Safeguard Zone and near to watercourses, meaning high levels of mitigation may be required to reduce impacts on hydrology, hydrogeology and drainage.</p> <p>The watercourses within and around the site create a potential pollution pathway for water quality including impacting on statutory wildlife sites. We object for these reasons.</p>	<p>The ECC site assessment identifies the site as being within a Drinking Water Safeguard Zone and near to watercourses, meaning high levels of mitigation may be required to reduce impacts on hydrology, hydrogeology and drainage.</p> <p>The watercourses within and around the site create a potential pollution pathway for water quality including impacting on statutory wildlife sites. We object for these reasons.</p>	<p>The ECC site assessment identifies the site as being within a Drinking Water Safeguard Zone and near to watercourses, meaning high levels of mitigation may be required to reduce impacts on hydrology, hydrogeology and drainage.</p> <p>The watercourses within and around the site create a potential pollution pathway for water quality including impacting on statutory wildlife sites. We object for these reasons.</p>
Soil Quality	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained for agricultural use and	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained for agricultural use and	The site contains grade 3 agricultural land, which is good to moderate quality and has the potential to be Best and Most Versatile agricultural land. The Council objects to the use of	The Council objects to the use of grade 2 Best and Most Versatile agricultural land for minerals extraction, it should be retained for agricultural use and

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

	and sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first.	sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first.	BMV land for minerals extraction, however it is noted that this is not the highest level of quality land.	and sequentially a lower agricultural land value should be allocated first.
Noise/Amenity	Due to its proximity, we have concerns the site would impact on the residential dwellings along the northern end of Braxted Road, Lea Lane, Little Braxted Lane.	Due to its proximity, we have concerns the site would impact on the residential dwellings along the northern end of Braxted Road, Lea Lane, Little Braxted Lane.	Due to its proximity, we have concerns the site would impact on the residential dwellings along the northern end of Braxted Road, Lea Lane, Little Braxted Lane.	Due to its proximity, the site could impact upon the residential dwellings along Braxted Road. It is noted most of the proposed site is outside of the Maldon District boundary.
Site allocations / other development	<p>A large site (57.1ha) has been submitted in the District Council's call for sites exercise for the whole area covered by A49 and A51 to be used as an employment/warehousing area, an extension to the existing businesses at the Commodity Centre. Whilst no decisions have been made regarding which sites will be allocated, the Council would consider that our local plan review and employment allocations must take priority. This land should be safeguarded until such time as the District Council has considered its own employment allocations. This land if allocated could bring a much needed boost to the economy of Maldon District and the Council will be seeking additional employment land through its LDP review process. The Council strongly objects on this basis.</p> <p>Whilst there is direct access to the existing Colemans quarry from the</p>	<p>A large site (57.1ha) has been submitted in the District Council's call for sites exercise for the whole area covered by A49 and A51 to be used as an employment/warehousing area, an extension to the existing businesses at the Commodity Centre. Whilst no decisions have been made regarding which sites will be allocated, the Council would consider that our local plan review and employment allocations must take priority. This land should be safeguarded until such time as the District Council has considered its own employment allocations. This land if allocated could bring a much needed boost to the economy of Maldon District and the Council will be seeking additional employment land through its LDP review process. The Council strongly objects on this basis.</p> <p>Whilst there is direct access to the existing Colemans quarry from the A12</p>	<p>A large site (57.1ha) has been submitted in the District Council's call for sites exercise for the whole area covered by A49 and A51 to be used as an employment/warehousing area, an extension to the existing businesses at the Commodity Centre. Whilst no decisions have been made regarding which sites will be allocated, the Council would consider that our local plan review and employment allocations must take priority. This land should be safeguarded until such time as the District Council has considered its own employment allocations. This land if allocated could bring a much needed boost to the economy of Maldon District and the Council will be seeking additional employment land through its LDP review process. The Council strongly objects on this basis.</p>	<p>Areas of land to the southeast of Braxted Road (north and northwest of Old House Farm), falls within the Order Limits of the approved A12 Widening NSIP and are subject to permanent acquisition by National Highways.</p>

Maldon District Council response to Essex Minerals Local Plan review (Candidate Sites Assessment) March 2024

	<p>A12, the approved A12 Widening NSIP may impact upon site access.</p> <p>An application was allowed on appeal for the area to the rear of the Commodity Centre to be used for the parking of cars and marshalling of lorries in association with the business park (20/00587/FUL), site A49 appears to include this area.</p>	<p>via Little Braxted Lane, the approved A12 Widening NSIP may impact upon this site access.</p>		
Other matters	<p>We have concerns over the impact on the Commodity Centre in Great Braxted and the businesses within it as the proposed site surrounds the buildings. The site also crosses over the private track (Nero Road) linking the Commodity Centre to the business park at Little Braxted Lane, which vehicles use to travel between the sites. We are concerned that this could increase traffic on Little Braxted Lane, Lea Lane and Braxted Road if the access along Nero Road was cut off. Little Braxted Lane is a narrow lane with few passing places, not suitable for heavy goods vehicles. We object for this reason.</p>	<p>We have concerns over the impact on the Commodity Centre in Great Braxted and the businesses within it as the proposed site surrounds the buildings. The site also crosses over the private track (Nero Road) linking the Commodity Centre to the business park at Little Braxted Lane, which vehicles use to travel between the sites. We are concerned that this could increase traffic on Little Braxted Lane, Lea Lane and Braxted Road if the access along Nero Road was cut off. Little Braxted Lane is a narrow lane with few passing places, not suitable for heavy goods vehicles. We object for this reason.</p>	N/A	N/A
Summary	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore strongly objects to the allocation of this site.</p>	<p>Due to the reasons above the Council does not consider this site to be suitable for mineral extraction and therefore objects to the allocation of this site.</p>

